	WHENTIAL PROTECTION
W OCH	1 Came
FL	ORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DISCOV	
AIRS ID#: 0250502 DATE: <u>12/9/2009</u> FACILITY NAME: RIOS CONCRETE PUMPING &		DEPART: <u>9:54 AM</u>
FACILITY LOCATION: 8750 N W 93RD STR MEDLEY 33178		VI. (205)000 5 105
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: SI CONTACT NAME: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/3/2008 / 3/2/2013 (effective date) (end date)	PHON	NE: (305)888-7407 NE:
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS IN COMPLIANCE ININOR Non-COMPLIANCE	_	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE
 PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIR</u> (check appropriate box(es)) <u>Stack Emissions</u> Were visible emissions tests conducted during the 62-297, F.A.C.)?	his site visit according to EPA M ers), and other enclosed storage e emissions to 5 percent opacity pollector exhaust points was the lo loading rate, or at least at the m operation controlled by the silo testions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If at .)	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)
 <u>Compliance Demonstration</u> - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? [Yes] No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Action form Submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? ∑Yes ∑No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>)	le 🗌
 2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.</i>)	ing ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

3. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for:	
a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) material processed on a monthly basis?	Xes 🗌 No
c) the sulfur content of the fuel being burned (Fuel supplier certifications)?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? XYes No
	2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? 🖾 Yes 🗌 No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspect	on has there been		
a) installation of an	y new process equipment?	Yes	🛛 No
b) alterations to exi	sting process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
	kisting equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification	n form?	Yes	🛛 No
d) If you answered	YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form	and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program of	fice?	Yes	🗌 No
d) If you answered notification form	YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or	∐ Yes	

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

12/9/2009

Date of Inspection

12/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: THE VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST STARTED AT 9:18 AM. THE CEMENT SILO WAS LOADED AT 10 PSI. BILL ARLINGTON PERFORMED THE VE TEST. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE 30 MINUTES TEST. ALSO THE WEIGH HOPPER WAS TESTED. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.